

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/643,690	08/19/2003	Yoshiyuki Kurokawa	0553-0323.01	. 5081
7590 04/14/2006 EX.		INER		
Edward D. Manzo Cook, Alex, McFarron, Manzo, Cummings & Mehler, Ltd.			WILSON, ALLAN R	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
	200 West Adams St., Ste. 2850			
Chicago, IL 60606			DATE MAILED: 04/14/200	6

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	13
	10/643,690	KUROKAWA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Allan R. Wilson	2815	
The MAILING DATE of this communication a Period for Reply	ppears on the cover sheet wi	th the correspondence address -	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REF WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory perion. - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by stat Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the main earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	DATE OF THIS COMMUNIC 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r od will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON tute, cause the application to become AB	CATION. eply be timely filed THS from the mailing date of this communication IANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
Status			
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 27	February 2006.		
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)☐ Th	his action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for allow			
closed in accordance with the practice under	r <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D	. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
Disposition of Claims			
4)	rawn from consideration. 46-50 is/are allowed.	the application.	
Application Papers			
9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Exami 10) ☑ The drawing(s) filed on 27 February 2006 is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction. 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the	are: a)⊠ accepted or b)□ one drawing(s) be held in abeyand ection is required if the drawing	ce. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). (s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119			
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreignal All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docume 2. Certified copies of the priority docume 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority docume application from the International Bure * See the attached detailed Office action for a life	ents have been received. ents have been received in A riority documents have been eau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	pplication No received in this National Stage	
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/0 Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s	Summary (PTO-413) s)/Mail Date nformal Patent Application (PTO-152) 	

Application/Control Number: 10/643,690

Art Unit: 2815

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

The drawings were received on February 27, 2006. These drawings are acceptable.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claims 7 and 10-12 are rejected under 35 USC § 103 (a) as being unpatentable over U.S. Patent No. 5,215,934 to Tzeng in view of U.S. Patent No. 5,656,845 to Akbar and U.S. Patent No. 6,514,842 to Prall et al. (hereafter "Prall").

Tzeng teaches, with reference to figures 5 and 6, a memory transistor comprising: an active layer 20, comprising a source 36, drain 37 and channel forming region (inherently formed between 36 and 37);

- a first region 25a and a second region 25b formed in the channel forming region;
- a first insulating film 30/31 formed on the active layer;
- a floating gate 33 formed on the first insulating film;
- a second insulating film (not shown, col. 6, lines 23-28); and
- a control gate 35 formed on the second insulating film;

Application/Control Number: 10/643,690

Art Unit: 2815

wherein a concentration of impurity elements in the first region is larger than a concentration of impurity elements in the second region (see the limitations of Tzeng, claim 26, citing that the threshold voltages differ between channel portions, and see col. 7, lines 2-6 teaching that the threshold difference is achieved by ion implantation).

Tzeng does not show an insulating surface with the active layer over the insulating surface. Akbar illustrates in figure 1 an insulating surface 25 with the active layer 33 over the insulating surface. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have an insulating surface to help with problems of punchthrough and latchup (Akbar at least col. 1, lines 51-56).

Tzeng and Akbar do not show a control gate comprising metal. Prall discloses in at least the abstract a metal control gate. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time the invention was made to have a metal control gate to reduce gate resistance.

Regarding claim 10, this claim cites functional limitations which may be achieved by the structure of Tzeng; as taught by applicant, the function of storing multi-value information is achieved by applying different programming voltages, not by a difference in structure over that taught by Tzeng. Regarding claim 11, Tzeng teaches that the substrate 20 is single crystal silicon (col. 5, lines 39-41). Regarding claim 12, this claim merely cites intended uses of the structure anticipated by Tzeng and does not distinguish over Tzeng.

This application currently names joint inventors. In considering patentability of the claims under 35 U.S.C. 103(a), the examiner presumes that the subject matter of the various claims was commonly owned at the time any inventions covered therein were made absent any evidence to the contrary. Applicant is advised of the obligation under 37 CFR 1.56 to point out

Application/Control Number: 10/643,690

Art Unit: 2815

the inventor and invention dates of each claim that was not commonly owned at the time a later invention was made in order for the examiner to consider the applicability of 35 U.S.C. 103(c) and potential 35 U.S.C. 102(e), (f) or (g) prior art under 35 U.S.C. 103(a).

Allowable Subject Matter

Claims 1, 4, 6, 13, 16-19, 21, 24-27, 43, 44, 46 and 47-50 are allowed.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 7 and 10-12 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Conclusion

Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event,

Art Unit: 2815

however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from an examiner should be directed to Primary Examiner Allan Wilson whose telephone number is (571) 272-1738. Examiner Wilson can normally be reached 6:00-4:30 Tuesday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ken Parker can be reached on (571) 272-2298. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Allan R. Wilson Primary Examiner 10 April 2006



